No. 11 June 1966

SPEARHEAD

one–shilling

HOW NEAR IS BRITAIN TO COMMUNISM?

Read the Alarming Truth

PAGE 4

EDITORIAL

Forwarding Address:-
c/o Albion Press
106 Norwood High Street
London S.E.27.

Editor:-
JOHN TYNDALL

The Many Faces of Bolshevism

THIS month SPEARHEAD spotlights the growing influence of Communism in Britain. It does so in a spirit of genuine urgency. The Communist disease is rife in our country today. But so very few are conscious of its danger. This is undoubtedly because of the changing tactics and facade of Communism.

The Communist of these times seldom works under the overt banner of the Communist Party. Instead he seeks to spread Communist ideas by the use of popular phrases and the appeal of popular sentiments. His weapons range over a vast number of respectable and apparently non-political institutions and bodies. He is now the worker in the long grass. He shuns the light of day like a sub-

THE ENEMY AND HIS SHIELD

terranean termite. He infiltrates, propagandises, and influences in a hundred different guises.

Perhaps the most insidious of all these guises is that of the modern 'humanitarian liberal', with his priestly demeanour and his repeated use of such words as 'love', 'tolerance', 'peace', 'humanity', 'justice', 'decency', 'human rights', 'progress', and so on. The appeal of these words is still hypnotic, like the sound of soothing music on tired brains. It plays on all the best instincts in people for the worst of purposes.

The 'liberal' is today the self-styled defender of 'rights' for all mankind - at least on the face of it. But probe behind the face, and you will find that these 'rights' always relate to people and activities which are in some way the allies of the Left; never of the Right.

The 'humanitarian liberal' is in fact Bolshevism's favourite face today. The saintly look of 'love' hides the dark heart of hate. Don't let it fool you.

Britain – Rabble or Force?

WHAT is wrong with Britain? This cry which a few years ago was associated with a discontented minority, has in recent times reached a crescendo of sound. Suddenly everyone is waking up to the fact that we are in a mess. A great chorus of grumbles sounds over our land. We are no longer the smug, self-satisfied and superior people we used to be. We are learning to look at ourselves with brutal introspection. We are appalled at what we see.

It has been said truthfully of us that the evils with which we are bedevilled cannot merely be put down to bad government. A succession of incompetent administrations can go a great deal of the way towards weakening a country, but there remain certain aspects of that country's life which are barely related to matters of government policy. They take their character rather as a result of the attitudes of ordinary people, and it is after all the attitudes of ordinary people that ultimately shape the policies of government; that accept or reject bad government according to the values by which the people live.

The laziness and sloppiness in our standards of work; the decadent posturings that are encouraged in our young; the inane drivel that is accepted amongst us as 'amusement'; the scruffiness and dinginess that we put up with in our towns; the almost complete lack of pride in ourselves as a nation; these are not trends that spring overnight from some government decree. They have grown from the roots, formed themselves in a natural process like scattered fungi in a polluted soil. We are to blame for them - not Wilson. Indeed we are to blame for Wilson.

There is a deep sickness in Britain today which goes much farther than can be explained by reference to 'temporary crises'. It is a sickness that has eaten its way into the very vitals of British life. Thousands are emigrating to get away from it; it has brought upon us the contempt and hatred even of our kith and kin overseas; it has well nigh invited our former inferiors to speak of us and to us in the conference halls of the world with the arrogant scorn one shows towards a spent race.

As patient Britannia lies prostrate on the sickbed, it is perhaps to be expected that she is surrounded by armies of would-be physicians with a rich diversity of complicated 'cures'. To us, however, the more complicated the cure, the farther it gets from reality. There is a very simple parallel to the state of modern Britain. All of us have seen it who have sampled service life.

We used to call them 'rookies' as they half stood - half slouched on the barrack square on the first day they were in the forces. Their bellies stuck out and their chests sank in; their uniforms were creased in all the wrong places; their brasses had the colour of mouldy bread; they 'marched' like old women, and they responded to orders like deaf mutes. After five minutes exertion they were panting and wheezing like the old crocks one sees on the yearly veteran car run. If they'd been called upon to defend anything they would not have known nor cared what the hell to do. They were a rabble.

That is what the British nation is today, sad to say: a rabble.

The rabble is of course as old as life itself. It is an ever-recurring feature of the twilight of civilisation. It has become so much a part of the pattern of history that it has rationalised its ways into a morality and pseudo-doctrine - to which it has given the name 'Liberalism'.

The typical rookie on the barrack square is the product usually of two decades of living by 'liberal' ethics, ethics which exalt fun, comfort, and animal happiness as the highest spires of attainment in life, which condition in so many young Britons a tired and half-baked attitude to every challenge that the world offers, which make a virtue of puniness and a sin of strength.

Yet a short period of service life can transform the rabble into a FORCE; a

what

body with pride, loyalty, and corporate spirit, with fitness of mind and muscle and purposefulness in behaviour. It need not undermine the individual identity, but on the contrary can enhance that identity by the personal spring-clean that it fosters in every man involved.

No form of politics is going to succeed in Britain unless it accomplishes the task of making the British nation what it once was, but what it has now lost the will to be: A FORCE.

This means of course a complete departure from many of our current conceptions of politics, society, and the state. It means a new form of state founded on DUTIES no less than rights, on DISCIPLINE no less than freedom. It means an entirely revolutionary political concept which bears no relation at all to the conventional pillow fight of the parliamentary parties and the mass bribery and flattery by which they live.

This small journal and the movement that works in conjunction with it has from the very beginning stood for the infusion of this new spirit into the life of Britain. It has led us into no end of trouble. It will lead us into more. But we will fight on as we have fought in the past; not as an enterprise bent on the exploitation of decadent values for the sake of commercial profit, but as an illuminator of the path to resurgence of the country we love.

We are not the bedmaids of the old era but the foundation-builders of the new!

A Case for Arbitration

HE Seaman's Strike provides just one more example of the antiquatedness of the democratic machinery for dealing with labour disputes.

On the face of things the seamen have a very real case. Their conditions of work are a great domestic handicap, and their pay is said to be the second lowest among seamen in Europe. If the shipowners cannot concede improvements in these things without suffering from foreign competition, then it is up to them to increase their efficiency, which must be sadly lacking.

But whatever the rights and wrongs, there should never have been this crippling strike.

Harold Wilson was near enough the truth when he said that "this strike solves nothing". But Harold Wilson would no doubt be the first to leap to the defence of the 'democratic' system under which such strikes represent the only way of reaching a decision.

We have said again and again: compulsory government arbitration is the ONLY way to settle such disputes in this modern age. In this case there are good

grounds for believing that an independent government body would have arbitrated in favour of the seamen. Whatever the effect on the economy, it could not be worse than having hundreds of ships rendered idle.

When will we learn?

The Voice of Zimbabwe

The newspaper headlines reproduced on this page are worth exhibiting as a prize example of the mentality behind the current Left-wing agitation against Rhodesia.

"Use force to crush Smith" screams the article, and then as if suddenly remembering to use the text-book jargon of the Left, adds: "Save world peace!"

The paper, called the ZIMBABWE REVIEW,

we

is worth reading - particularly by those who believe that the African nationalist viewpoint represents responsible, adult political opinion. From cover to cover it consists of the demented ravings of asylum inmates who, when the moon meets the limit of its exposure, run around the precincts imagining they are statesmen appointed to bring sanity and justice to a chaotic world.

"Smith is going mad!" yells one article, going on to say that "Smith's 'Gestapo' is about to murder African leader Joshua Nkomo in cold blood". Turning further inside past pages on which the 'Soviet Weekly' is prominently advertised and recommended, one then comes to a photographic feature in which Ian Smith is compared with Hitler, with a caption underneath expressing the hope that Smith "and his gangsters" meet the same fate as Hitler - an incitement to violent acts if ever there was one!

Further articles allege that "innocent Africans" are being continually terrorised by "White Fascists".

That a publication containing such a barrage of seditiously libellous fabrications is allowed to be circulated in Britain today is a comment on the absurd extremes of license and anarchy that we have reached.

Finally, as an indication of the source of anti-White agitation against Rhodesia, we are informed at the foot of the back page that the paper is printed in the historical African township of Aldgate by a gentleman with the distinguished African name of S. Levene.

Red Carpet for Pornographer

Last month Britain received a visitor from the Continent whom we could have well done without.

He was Maurice Girodias, a French publisher notorious for works of pornography which include such titles as 'Whips Incorporated'. He has publicly admitted that he is proud of the status of pornographer, and in his time has employed, among others, Brendan Behan, writing various works of filth to his orders.

One would in normal times expect M. Girodias to be given the cold shoulder by all except the most perverted in taste; better still to be denied entry into the country as an undesirable alien.

Today, however, Britain is governed by different values. This D.B. publisher was given a big literary lunch at the

think...

Dorchester, sponsored by none other than Foyles, the well-known booksellers, and attended by such notables as Lord Willis, Tom Driberg, Angus Wilson and John Braine. Later it was known that publicity agents had fixed that he make 20 appearances on T.V.

One is left wondering what contrasting treatment would be accorded to this man were he a publisher of books that were morally clean and of real literary merit but which expressed strong Right-wing political opinions. No doubt the people who recently gave him the V.I.P.handling would instead have been organising violent demonstrations demanding his deportation from Britain,and perhaps even his imprisonment.

Zimbabwe Re

OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE ZIMBABWE AFRICAN PEO

VOLUME ONE NUMBER FOUR-FIVE APRIL—MAY 1966

British Sanctions have failed — Use Force to Crush Smith — Free SAVE WORLD PEACE

In its Rhodesian Resolution submitted to the emergency meeting of the UN Security Council on Saturday April 9th Britain, unconsciously and with acknowledgement, agreed with ZAPU and UN that its policy of sanctions without force had failed. Further, Britain admitted that the Rhodesian rebellion is a threat to international peace and hence no longer an exclusive British responsibility.

The admission by Britain of the

Nkomo may MURDERED

By ZR Bulawayo Reporter.

Smith is going mad! He may Mr. Nkomo any day in cold blo

The Germany-Haters at it Again

Recent newspaper reports have been letting loose a loud hue and cry about the success in German elections of the newly formed National Democratic Party. If we are to believe what we are told, this development is one which we should greet with great alarm.

Just to see what it was all about, we procured a pamphlet outlining the manifesto of this party, and examined its programme point by point.

The party leaders condemn the continued division of their country two decades after the war, and call for an early reunification.

They call for a strong and free Europe, independent of the power blocs of America and Russia.

They stand for a vigorous peasantry and financial reform. They seek to free German industry from the clutches of the great international financial combines.

They claim that only through hard work can social benefits be increased.

They oppose the continuation of free hand-outs of vast sums of German money and wealth to foreign nations.

They want to see a proud and responsible German youth,conscious of its duty towards Germany.

They oppose the present 'opinion monopoly' exercised by press and television, and call for greater freedom of expression over these media.

They stand for a system of justice independent of state interference.

They seek to combat the idea that Germany should for all time be burdened with sole guilt for the unfortunate happenings of the last war. They call for an end to the quarter-century campaign of hate that has been maintained against the German people.

Are these aims that the world should regard with alarm? Are they ideas that justify a wave of outraged Press hysteria?

To us they seem perfectly reasonable aims for Germans to hold for the future of their country: aims that most of us would hold were we Germans: aims many of which we think it right to hold for our own country.

Why is it that so many people are loath to extend to Germany the same rights that they are always screaming should be given to everyone else?

'Collective Guilt' - a Double Standard

On 11/5/66 the West German Government agreed to lend Israel £13½ million at 3% over 20 years. Generous terms indeed plus an alleged promise of a similar sum at the same rate for each of the next ten years. All this in addition to the hundreds of millions already given by the West Germans to the Israelis in 'reparations'.

On 12/5/66 the Israeli Government recognised the Oder-Neisse line as being the Eastern boundary of East Germany. A slap in the face for the West Germans and all those interested in German reunification. When taxed with this insult various Israelis replied that the West German loan was no gift, the money was 'owed' to them. It was also stated that the West Germans could never pay enough for 'what they did'.

This 'collective-guilt' of the West Germans in Israeli eyes seems rather strange in comparison with the recent declaration of the Roman Church that there should be no collective-guilt attitude towards the Jews for the Crucifixion. This Vatican ruling was the result of sustained pressure on the Church by the Jews themselves, who argued that the collective-guilt attitude was wrong, at least when directed against the Jews.

Another rather strange aspect of this Israeli view that the present generation of West Germans is responsible for the actions of the last is that it only applies to West Germany. Communist East Germany has paid Israel nothing. Presumably, Nazis only lived in what is now West Germany and Germans living in the Eastern, or more correctly Middle part of this country had no associations with the wartime treatment of the Jews.

HOW NEAR IS BRITAIN TO COMMUNISM?

HOW near is Britain to Communism? This question, which not long ago would have evoked a laugh, now approaches frightening reality as we survey the current scene.

True, the Communist Party is small. True, the performance of openly Communistic candidates in recent elections was unimpressive. But how much is this a test of the real measure of Communist influence in our nation's life?

The substance of Communism does not lie in those things bearing its name. It lies first of all in the sway of Communistic philosophy and ideas. These may bear an assortment of names, many perfectly acceptable in the Wimbledon Ladies Croquet Club or at the Cheltenham Conservative Garden Fete. But by their very nature and consequences, they are the secret tools of the Bolshevik conspiracy against civilisation.

I have chosen this month to examine, not the personalities, symbols, or slogans of Communism, but the basic ideas that underly them, for it is in these ideas that we can measure the ground that the Communists have won. What are these ideas, and to what extent are we governed by them today?

1) The principle that 'all men are equal' and that 'human differences are only due to economic environment'.

This cardinal Marxist principle is now the governing rule in all our policies relating to the racial question. By ignoring the reality of Race, and by accepting the environmentalist theory, we have been led in the precise direction prescribed by Marx and Lenin and their followers. All the three major political parties in Britain are committed to this principle. It is called 'Liberalism', 'Christianity', 'Brotherhood': it amounts to Bolshevism.

2) The destruction of private enterprise and individual initiative.

Private enterprise and individual initiative are being systematically undermined by the excessive taxation of the successful and excessive pampering of the failures. Private enterprise depends for its effectiveness on incentive. In Britain today there IS no incentive to work hard and well if the greater part of one's earnings are to be pirated by the Inland Revenue. At the same time there is every incentive to loaf, skive, and 'ponce' off a state that falls over itself to make life progressively easier for the parasitic and the useless. This procedure amounts to levelling down: the levelling down of the best for the sake of the worst. And levelling down is the essence of Communism.

3) Totalitarian thought-control, and the suppression of Right-wing ideas.

Today in Britain there is 'free speech' only in theory. In practice there is a rigid censorship of almost all public media so as to exclude the expression of viewpoints antagonistic to the thought controllers of the Left. Press and Television are wielded by gigantic commercial interests to present ideas that are increasingly Left-of-centre, while only occasionally allowing the mildest and most ineffective viewpoints to be heard from the Right.

Likewise, most public halls are denied by the authorities for use by genuine Right-wing organisations, while all seats of education strenuously bar Right-wingers from the schools, universities, and teaching colleges. British 'education' has in fact now become Soviet education.

Meanwhile a highly developed technique of brainwashing throughout all these institutions and media work constantly to paralyse all human capacity for resistance to the march of Leftist philosophies.

4) Prosecution of political opposition and the rule of the Secret Police.

In the Soviet police state political opponents of the regime are openly prosecuted and flung in gaol for their beliefs. In Britain official hypocrisy is too great to allow this method. Instead laws are devised which, although nominally 'allowing' opposition, make its practical application a matter of continual hazard. The most prominent of these laws are the Public Order Act and Race Relations Act, which while ostensibly applicable to all persons are in fact designed specifically to curtail the activities of Right-wing organisations, and are never applied to those of the Left.

The Secret Police in Britain has the euphemistic name of 'Special Branch'. It is supposed to keep an eye on persons and activities 'detrimental to national security'. In fact it continually ignores the activities of Communists who have endangered national security, but never relaxes its snooping vigilance on Right-wingers who stand for ideas that serve national security. This snooping includes phone-tapping, the opening of mail, and infiltration into Right-wing groups for the purposes of espionage; in fact all the most obnoxious operations of the Soviet G.P.U.

5) The undermining of superior statesmanship and the subjecting of all political decision to the rule of the mob.

This Bolshevik principle has become probably more current in Britain than in some of the Soviet states. The ideal grounds for its application are provided under the system which we call 'Democracy' but which in fact amounts to Mobocracy.

Mobocracy today is the decisive influence in all the government thinks and does. The acid test of every measure is not: will it be good for the country? - but: will it gain or lose votes? Everything is decided by the lowest common denominator of 'public opinion', which in turn is corrupted by the powerful mob-media of Press and Television. When mob-rule becomes the order of the day it is impossible for government ever to appeal to the best sentiments in people; it can only survive by pandering to the worst instincts of self-indulgence. The result is the Marxist objective of an utter breakdown in all forms of ordered society.

6) The attack on nationalism and patriotism.

Marxist doctrine laid it down that the triumph of Communism could only come with the elimination of all ties of nationhood and patriotic loyalty - this excluding of course the Communist expedient of exploiting small nationalisms to break up greater ones.

In Britain today both our politicians and educators make it a point of policy to undermine and destroy all patriotic feeling. In schools and universities 'anti-patriotism' is now the ruling doctrine. The young are taught to despise and be ashamed of their own nationality - providing it is British and White, and to exalt all internationalist fantasies, such as the United Nations and its various agencies and spiritual offshoots. The international ideal is boosted, while the national ideal is condemned at best as 'out of date', and at worst as criminal and 'Nazi'. The Church gives its almost unreserved backing to this cult.

7) The breakdown of the great European colonial empires.

A constant theme running through the standard works of Marxism-Leninism is 'anti-colonialism', or the onslaught on the European powers 'at their peripheries'. The basic aim is of course to weaken the colonial powers by cutting them off from essential resources, and this is pursued in quite ruthless disregard for the sufferings of the native peoples who have benefited from European rule.

What is the reaction of British governments to this scheme? Since the last war both Labour and Tory administrations have laid it down as official policy that the British colonial empire be disbanded and scuttled. The Red Army has not been required to intervene. We have done the work of the Reds for them.

8) The levelling down of education.

In the drive towards the compulsory application of the comprehensive school system, we see enacted the Marxist principle of complete standardisation in the upbringing of the young - based as it is on the denial of hereditary intelligence and the rejection of specialised training in leadership. Above all we see the drive towards the complete submergence of the individual personality that has been the cornerstone of our national character. Our aim has become the Communist aim of a race of uniformly educated robots, faithful to the tenets of a mass-produced 'knowledge', while commonly lacking the blend of intelligence, character, and culture that has been the product of diversity in training and learning.

9) The breakdown of family life.

Marxism attacks the institution of the family by the denial of the importance of blood ties, by rejection of family loyalty and morals, and by the undermin-

contd. from previous page

ing of parental authority. At the same time it aims to break down family continuity by opposing the principle of hereditary ownership.

Modern British Socialism and Liberalism pursue the same aims in all fundamentals. Denial of Race must imply the denial of family blood ties, and of the loyalties that stem from them. The authority of the father is ceaselessly undermined by the doctrines of the self-styled 'child psychologists', with their prescriptions of licence and non-punishment. Likewise the same injustices of taxation earlier mentioned attack the very roots of the human incentive to work and invest for the sake of future generations of one's own breed.

These illustrations provide the measure of the British path to Communism. They may strike the reader as alarming and brutal. They are meant to be so.

For years we have been stressing that the policies of modern Britain MUST lead to Communism; that those very ideas now proclaimed as 'enlightened' and 'liberal' are in fact the ideas of Marx and Lenin introduced under another name and by means of insidious guise. We are in fact being eaten up by a poison from within.

Will we discover and combat this poison before it is too late?

JOHN TYNDALL

THE MOORS MURDER - A CASE FOR HANGING

IN what has undoubtedly been one of the vilest crimes of this century the British public and the world at large has seen in the Moors Trial the strongest possible case for the application of the death penalty.

by

Michael Passmore

The systematic planned butchering of a youth and a small boy, and the subjection to terror and perverted practices, ending in the brutal killing of a little girl: these words are hardly adequate to describe the satanic deeds of Brady and Hindley. That these two monsters should escape with such a light sentence must be appalling to the parents of the victims, and an outrage to the conscience of the public.

It may be said that a life sentence will be a greater punishment to the killers than death insofar as they will have to endure incarceration for many years. But they may be released in only 10-15 years. How can they be allowed to get away with such a mild punishment after what they have done? Their crimes deserve DEATH, and even this penalty does not seem enough.

But for those who look closer into the whole question of capital punishment, how many will see the kind of swindle that is going on? It is reckoned that about 85 per cent of the public are in favour of the death penalty, but our 'elected representatives' are content to ignore their wishes. It is all merely another example of the manner in which 'Democracy' pays lip-service to public opinion in theory, but in practice scorns such opinion in all the really vital matters.

The death penalty is the only satisfactory answer to planned murder. Those who murder in this way once may do so again so long as there is no greater punishment meted out to them than a period of imprisonment. Brady or Hindley have nothing to lose by killing again.

We therefore come back to the only possible solution: the putting away of these ghouls for good - so that they may never have any chance of ever repeating their crimes.

We demand, in short, the restoration of the supreme penalty as the ONLY real deterrent to the mounting scale of murder which is bedevilling our society. For crimes a hundred times less than those of Brady and Hindley this penalty would be quite justified if those crimes led to the murder of innocent people. For crimes of the dimensions that we have just seen, our failure to exterminate those guilty will forever be remembered as an affront to civilisation.

Alien 'Psychologists' —the New Self-Styled Guardians of Britain's Youth

by PRO-PATRIA

WE are well aware of the efforts made by the Labour Party to concoct a suitable image for presentation to the electorate. With nauseating regularity a pipe-sucking Harold Wilson has besmirched our television screens seeking to portray a benevolent uncle whose sole concern is the well-being of his family. No trick of cheap journalism has been spared in order to lay stress on those matters which the Labour Party wishes the people to consider of paramount importance.

Considerably less publicity has been given to other intended items of legislation. Yet should this legislation reach the statute book it will strike at the very roots of justice, tradition, and family life which have long existed in Britain. The reason for this remarkable reticence is no doubt well known to Her Majesty's ministers. But it does not appear unreasonable that those whose lives are to be disturbed by it should at least be informed of what is in store for them.

Referred to here is the Government's White Paper on 'family courts'. It is clearly impossible in such space to enter into detail, but consideration of the salient suggestions is sufficient to give ground for grave concern.

It is proposed to raise the age of criminal responsibility with the result that no person under the age of twenty-one will appear before the normal courts of law. The juvenile courts as at present constituted are to be abolished and will be replaced by what will be termed 'family courts'. It is understood that whilst persuasion will be used by these bodies, nevertheless in the final analysis they will have statutory powers. Presumably this implies that an entire family can be summoned to appear before them. It would appear that these august tribunals will be composed of 'child-care' officers, 'psychologists', and other co-opted members. Probation officers have expressed some disquiet over these proposals, but the gentlemen who at present stalk the corridors of power have seen fit to completely disregard their objections. Fundamental here is the factor that the age-old concept of right and wrong is to be discarded, together with any idea of personal responsibility for one's actions. The administration of justice in these cases is to be removed from the courts, and those who previously would have appeared before them are to be dealt with by the 'psychologist'. Perhaps even more alarming is the thought that a child who has committed no criminal action but has simply displayed normal high spirits could be compulsorily subjected to the dictates of such bodies.

This twentieth-century witchcraft which masquerades under the term of 'sociology' is rich in literature. The vast majority of this forms recommended reading and textbooks for those who will occupy seats in the proposed courts. We have for example: SAMPLES FROM ENGLISH CULTURE, by Josephine Klein, SOCIAL FACTORS IN THERAPY, by J. Reusch, and SOCIAL CLASS SPEECH SYSTEMS, by Basil Bernstein. Other notable writers include M.L. Kohn, I. Weisman, J. Chwast, and S.W. Ginsburg.

To question the learning of the foregoing, or their right by virtue of origin to be quoted as authorities on British social problems, is of course to venture into the realms of blasphemy, and it would no doubt be deemed impertinent to suggest that the cockney fishporter could supply information that is not only more reliable but also based on personal experience.

The incompetence and stupidity that today passes for government is only too apparent. Yet it will profit us to bear in mind that the introduction of alien ideas by means of 'back-door legislation' can well undermine all that is valuable in our society. The struggle against such ideas is one which all can join - irrespective of party or social rank. This struggle must be waged and won NOW if we are not to witness in this country the breakdown of all forms of civilised life.

For the Cause of Social Unrest, Read . . .

THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION

Available through Viking Book Club

Oddball! An Episode in the Adventures of 006⅔

THIS is the age of the secret agent. Since the success of Ian Fleming's novels, we have been regaled with the increasingly extraordinary exploits of spy and counter-spy. In all walks of life, these mysterious, exotic, and romantic figures ply their adventurous trade; ours is no exception.

Truth, it is said, is stranger than fiction, and experience has proved this maxim to us on more than one occasion. So we are proud to bring to readers of SPEARHEAD an exclusive story of one of these unsung heroes of the Police Farce.

Our story opens on the third floor of Scotland Yard's west wing, headquarters of the Special Branch, and nerve centre of the unending war on somebody or other. In an office sit three determined men. The one with his back to us is M - the controller.

"This is a dangerous assignment," he was saying. "I have word that a terrible conspiracy is forming. Within three months the world will be taken over, and my office at Scotland Yard demolished." There was a hushed silence. A paling subordinate stammered "Who.....?" "There is only one group capable of anything so diabolical," cut in M tersely. "Vengeance, Intimidation and Killing, Incorporating Nationalist Government." "You mean....." "Yes" growled M, "I mean V.I.K.I.N.G.!!" "God!" said the subordinate, "I thought that when we crushed the Associated Liquidators and British Institution of Nationalism, we had beaten them." "Yes" mused M,"I thought so too, but some of the A.L.B.I.O.N. Club members must have escaped alive, and appear to be working for V.I.K.I.N.G. You now see how imperative it is that we know what is going on there. There is no other way, we must put our man in their midst."

They pondered silently for a few seconds. "Who have we got" said M. "There's Compton" said the subordinate hopefully. "No"said M, "He's joined the W.R.E.N.S." "Nicholls then?" "On loan to Mr. Bidney" said M ruefully. They thought again. "There's nothing for it" said M "We'll have to put in one of our top-liners - a 00 man."

M pressed a button on his desk and a concealed door opened. Through it came a man. When they saw his rugged features the two subordinates heaved a visible sigh of relief, everything would be alright now - Scotland Yard and the world would be saved. The man was 006⅔ - Pop Richardson was on the case!

006⅔'s steely squint surveyed the men. "Please sit down" said M offering his seat. "We have a tough assignment for you this time, smash V.I.K.I.N.G.: here's how we'll go about it....."

The briefing over, 006⅔ sat back and lit one of his hand made, special dribble proof Embassy tipped cigarettes. While he smoked, his computer brain was analysing the facts M had given him. "It would be a tough nut but just up my street" he thought. "O.K." he said nonchalently, "I'll do it." M was beside himself with gratitude. In a voice cracked with emotion he murmured "Oh Pop however can we thank you." "It's my job" said 006⅔, "and get up off your knees, M old chap. It's undignified." He left the room to the strains of 'For he's a jolly good fellow' which sounded strangely like the Hatikvah to him.

After a cup of tea, which he drank neat, 006⅔ paid his first call - to the Special Equipment Section. "This hearing aid" said Q, head of the department, "is specially designed for you; pay attention 006⅔." Pop jerked his roving eye off the young typist. "It has 147 different uses, 148 if you want to hear a little better. It is also tuned into the Special Branch wavelength, London Taxis and Radio Caroline; take care of it."

OUR HERO

Hero of this piece is Ralph Edward Goselin Richardson (right), known to members as 'Pop' - among other names. Facts of the Richardson case are in ACTION REPORT, p.7.

Pop then made his way deviously to the South London headquarters of V.I.K.I.N.G. where he used the name Ralph Richardson to gain entry to the enemy lair. "They are bound to mistake me for the Ralph Richardson" he thought, "They'll never resist the thought that such a famous nuclear scientist would want to join them."

His first opportunity came when he was invited to a V.I.K.I.N.G. function. He had his 'equipment' with him. The discussion droned on concerning politics, which he could not understand. "They are obviously talking in code" he muttered darkly to himself, and switched on his decoder. "Damn those fool engineers" he thought, as the Beatles latest thundered into his ear. "I must break it up somehow." "Leader, leader" he bawled at the top of his voice, and everybody stared at him. "What about the explosions?" Everyone stopped talking and looked at him quizzically. Surveying their puzzled expressions, Pop muttered "I've done it - I've broken through!" "Sounds like it" thought the rest and resumed their discussion.

When they stopped inviting him to meetings, 006⅔ knew that he had been selected for something special. His opportunity was not long coming.

M was delighted with the report. "Oh goody" he warbled to the subordinate "This is the real stuff. Another Old Bailey case and promotion for me - here's to Pop, the pride of the Branch."

His next assignment was even more dangerous - to attend the self-styled National Camp. Here he knew the final solutions of the world take-over would be discussed. At the camp, a camper borrowed his matches to light the fire. "So that's it" he thought, "training for arson, eh." The plot was thickening. He had to report it to M, and quickly.....

When the campers were walking along the sea front obviously selecting a beach from which to launch the world invasion, 006⅔ made his break and left for London. As it was a Sunday there were no trains, so Pop was stranded. "My God" he thought, "the railways too, are in on the plot" and his computer brain recalled the warning memo he had sent M when Beeching had ordered those sinister new railway uniforms.

Skilfully and gradually he infiltrated the V.I.K.I.N.G. command network, actually receiving the occasional circular! M was delighted but warned 006⅔ of the dangers of getting in too deep! The warning went unheeded. Pop's lust for adventure was awakened. He had to go the whole way!

The chance came soon. Turning up unexpectedly on a Saturday afternoon, he saw a V.I.K.I.N.G. action group leaving on a mission in their big truck. They could not avoid taking him now! A paper drive! So that was their code name for the diabolical scheme to destroy the Incomes Policy with forged currency and Luncheon Vouchers! When they arrived at the rendezvous at Notting Hill, some of the men and a girl left for the 'paper drive', whilst the loudspeaker van cruised around. Pop noticed the techniques used in loudspeaking were obviously used as training jaunts for announcers practising for the world take over. He made a mental note. M must hear of this. A most successful day!

He then heard the sales drive had been attacked by the 62 Group. "Damn it" he growled, "a typical lack of inter-departmental co-operation. If they are not careful, they will spoil my cover." He made another note for M and called him on his hearing aid.

M softly cursed the 62 Group. "Is this how they show their gratitude? If 006⅔ is suspected, they'll ruin the whole exercise." He thought a moment, then picked up the phone, "Get me Harry....."

It was too late, the blunder had been made. Put into action, the security farces had begun their sweep. The net was closing in on the V.I.K.I.N.G. action group.

They were arrested late that afternoon. The police officers could not understand the frantic cabbalistic signs 006⅔ was making."No damned co-ordination again." He was thrown into the cells with the others despite his attempts to pass himself off as an Irish citizen. "I want to see the Irish Consulate" he shouted, carefully stressing the third syllable. His luck was out, the stupid constable could not understand but persisted in treating him as if he were crazy or something. A gleam of suspicion entered his mind. "Even the police?" No, he dismissed it quickly.

At court, he decided to bring his own legal adviser and duly produced his Jewish lawyer. "I should do such a thing" exclaimed that worthy in court. "Oh God" thought 006⅔, realising suddenly that even the lawyer must be in the V.I.K.I.N.G. plot.

He had only one resort left. M must know the colossal ramifications of the conspiracy. Escaping from court, he phoned the secret emergency number. M listened gravely. They both knew the unwritten law of the Service. If caught - you are on your own! There was nothing he could do. M replaced the receiver. "It looks like 006⅔ is blown" he said sadly to the subordinate. "Can't we pull him out, M? Send him for a rest cure with the Communists, or tea with Harold, or something?" "How did they get on to him?" M asked himself aloud. "Just when he was really getting in."

"If you only knew," thought the subordinate as M left the room. He picked up the phone, "Is that V.I.K.I.N.G. ..."

GREAT BRITONS

by Pendragon

No. 2 *Hereward the Wake*

circa 1070

ALTHOUGH most people think that the Norman Conquest was secured in 1066 with the defeat of Harold at Hastings, in actual fact resistance continued for at least five years after that date despite William's terrible and merciless treatment of any who dared oppose him.

In May 1070 a new, Norman, abbot was appointed to Peterborough Abbey. However he arrived too late, for a rebel band, supported by the Danes who had wintered in the Humber, had forestalled him and carried off the Abbey's treasures. The leader of this band was named Hereward. This is the first known reference to him.

Hereward, known as 'Wake' literally because he was ever vigilant, was a Lincolnshire thane (Saxon landholding rank between an ordinary freeman and an hereditary noble). He had set up the headquarters of his resistance movement on the Isle of Ely in the heart of the Fens, almost inaccessible except from the sea and as the Danish fleet held the coast he was safe from seaborne attack. William instituted a vast siege of Ely but failed to dislodge Hereward who by this time had been joined by many more rebels and had come to symbolise the spirit of native resistance to alien rule - 'The Last of the English' as he has been called.

Hereward held out in Ely until 1071 when through treachery and the withdrawal of the Danish fleet, William took possession. The Normans failed to capture Hereward who valiantly fought his way out into the Fens and lived to harass the invaders for some years to come. His end is not known.

It was unfortunate that Hereward's resistance began so late, for William had become established and the issue had never really been in doubt. Hereward had led a revolt that was but the last and noblest of many, all of which were undertaken too late.

William played a leading role in the formation of a British Nation and even though Hereward tried to frustrate this, his spirit of resistance sets a fine example to us all

Action Report

Greater Britain Movement

THIS last month has been a quiet month as far as G.B.M. activities have been concerned. A great deal of time and attention has been devoted by our staff to legal matters connected with the police charges that have been brought against certain members, and this has resulted in an absence of prominent activities. Routine literature distribution has continued as normal.

'WEAPONS' CASE

On May 16th there took place at Marylebone Magistrates Court the postponed hearing of the case involving eight G.B.M. followers who were arrested in March on a charge of carrying 'offensive weapons'. On this occasion the proceedings centred mainly around the case for the defence.

Mr. Richard Du Cann, defending, related to the court the long succession of violent attacks that had been made upon members of the G.B.M. and their property over the past year, stipulated that on March 19th a number of wooden clubs had been carried in the G.B.M. lorry as a precaution against further attacks, and questioned the prosecution's claim that possession of such clubs could be held as illegal in so far as they were kept for no other purpose than the perfectly legal defence of the persons concerned in the event of their being subjected to another violent attack.

Mr. John Tyndall, leader of the G.B.M. and one of the eight defendents, testified in the witness-box that the decision to carry the clubs had only been made after very long and serious consideration of factors involving the safety of members. In the event of the continued absence of police action against the trouble-makers, he said, there had been found absolutely no other way open to the movement but to equip itself with the basic means of self-protection. The alternative was to continue to expose perfectly innocent and law-abiding people to sustained terror on account of their beliefs.

During the court throughout the proceedings were Messrs. Harry Bidney and Issy Rondel, leaders of the Jewish gangster group that has been responsible for the attacks.

The hearing was adjourned until the 29th June.

POLICE NARK

Light relief from the proceedings in court was provided when it was revealed by a witness representing Scotland Yard's Special Branch that one of the defendents, Mr. Ralph (Pop) Richardson, had for the past few months been attempting to act as an agent in the movement supplying the Branch with information.

Richardson was in fact a somewhat offbeat character who had hung around us for some time and whom we had let come on a few activities with us. He was never in a position to glean any information of any importance, and was in fact admitted by the Branch man to have never done so.. More of the Richardson case appears (in jest) on page-six.

APRIL 20th

On April 20th the Jewish mob tried to make a nuisance of itself again by demonstrating at our annual celebration party - a purely private event. This time no damage was done, and the mob only succeeded in disgusting many local residents and helping our case against it.

G.B.M. and the Jewish Question

RECENT weeks have seen loud attention focussed upon the two cases of prosecution for synagogue burning, and the subsequent attempts of certain people to associate these incidents with political groups such as the Greater Britain Movement. In view of this it is felt necessary for us to make a reaffirmation of our position with regard to the Jewish Question.

We wholeheartedly deplore and condemn the cowardly hooliganism that is responsible for midnight attacks on religious establishments of any kind, Jewish or otherwise. We most stringently forbid any such activities among our own members and were any case of such activity involving one of our members brought to our notice, and were we able to satisfy ourselves as to the guilt of that member, he or she would be instantly expelled.

Likewise, we oppose any form of insulting behaviour, ill-treatment, or persecution directed towards persons of Jewish race on grounds of origin alone. This rule applies both to our own activities as a political group and to the kind of society we advocate for the Britain of the future.

Nevertheless, these considerations do not prevent us from recognising the prominent role played by certain Jewish elements in a wide variety of activities harmful to the interests of Britain. Both now and in the future, we will not shirk from the duty of attacking and exposing these activities - whatever violence and intimidation may be attempted against us in consequence.

Nor will we alter our conviction that the Jewish community in Britain represents what is basically a foreign body within the State, with a morality, culture, and way of life that is essentially different from our own. We will work for the peaceful separation of this body from the British community, and its eventual resettlement in a Jewish national home elsewhere in the world. We believe with absolute sincerity that this solution will in the long run be the best way of safeguarding the true interests of Briton and Jew alike. JOHN TYNDALL

The Fact the Sociologists Gloss Over . . .

CRIME AND THE RACE FACTOR

by

PAUL TREVELYAN

THE rising crime rate in Britain has for some years rightly been a source of grave concern to politicians, sociologists, the Police, and the public. During this time we have been at pains to analyse the causes, and the sociologists in particular have besieged us with complicated surveys, resulting in a welter of theoretical arguments as to the origin of crime, some of which may well have some basis.

But there is one factor in crime that so far the social scientists seem to have completely forgotten - or at least to have been afraid of mentioning. We believe it to be the most vital factor of all. It is the factor of RACE.

How often have we seen in Press and television pictures a criminal bearing the racial characteristics that we have come to think of as typical of a normal Briton:i.e. tallish and well-proportioned, with light colouring, and fine, intelligent features? Much more usually our criminal is squat and swarthy, with peasant features betokening a very low intelligence level (here I am dealing with genuine intelligence as distinct from 'smartness' and craftiness). This latter type is usually described by the Police as the 'criminal class', but I would submit that 'class' is the wrong word to use in this case. These criminal types are not a group forming a class within our society but are a sub-racial group within our nation.

GROWING BREED

What is frightening is that these sub-racial specimens are to be found in our streets in ever increasing numbers. Not all express their inability to cope with modern life by turning to crime. Many more express themselves in anti-social activities that fall short of actual crime. Because of their inherent incapacity to sustain or even appreciate the civilisation in which they find themselves, they turn against it and attempt to drag down to their own level all that is noble and elevating, dressing in the garb of the scruff, growing their filthy hair to an absurd length, supporting any and every organisation that is dangerous to the nation's well-being, and only bestirring themselves from their drug-induced lethargy to shuffle with banners at Easter.

Take a stroll around our larger cities and see how many tall, athletic men you can see. The majority of people in the streets are stunted, have no dignity to their step, and wear vacant, bovine expressions; their minds are too full of 'pop' music and soap commercials to have any interest in the things that really matter in life. The mental ability and cultural appreciation of the sub-racial usually bears a direct relationship to his ugliness and weakness.

WELFARE STATE MONSTERS

Lest it be misunderstood, I am not talking now about the hordes of imported breeds of various kinds that have flooded into Britain over recent years - though heaven knows enough of them are a factor in criminal and anti-social behaviour. I am dealing with the lowest elements in our own indigenous British population, elements which in sane times are kept in check by the normal operation of the laws of nature, but which today under 'welfare-statism', with its system of subsidised degeneracy and idleness, are allowed to multiply their kind with a high birth-rate, and through the medium of 'democracy' exert an ever-growing influence on national thought and policies.

A 'social-security' system that pampers the scum, whilst persecuting the industrious through crippling taxation will inevitably result in a decline of the race by encouraging the worst elements to have large families and the best people to restrict their children to one or two. Likewise the great wars in which the flower of our manhood has perished whilst those rejected for war service because of their mental and physical infirmity have lived and bred more of their type; these tragedies have had a terrible effect on the qualitative level of our population.

The general decline in race quality and its attendant nurturing of low intelligence have of course been most convenient to the mind-manipulators and manufacturers of 'public opinion'. Those capable of limited thought are fed with Communist propaganda usually in the guise of 'fair deals for the underpriviledged' - 'underpriviledged'usually meaning those incapable of achieving anything for themselves but jealous of those who have done better. Our 'democratic' system of franchise means that the sub-racial vote is the decisive vote and that political power is continually conditional upon pandering to its insatiable demand for 'something-for-nothing'; hence the ludicrously unjust scale of taxation and the resultant sickness of the national economy.

Mounting crime is of course merely the end product of this development. With a constant lowering of the racial level, and the prolific breeding through state subsidy of the very worst strains in the population, the increase of hereditary criminality is inevitable. This can be seen particularly in the alarming multiplication of crimes involving the most sadistic violence; a trait that is most rare in the normal British and Nordic European character.

HISTORY'S LAW

Since the very beginning of civilisation only a small number of people, representing the aristocratic racial strain, have actually created, sustained, and advanced their respective societies. There has always been an underlying mass of humanity of poor or indifferent quality in every one of these societies. **As long as that mass was ruled by those few of higher quality, the whole society benefited. Once the pure-bred, in most cases Aryan, leadership class abdicated power, their proud civilisations crumbled and died. In both Greece and Rome this can be seen as a fact.**

Our present era of socialist democracy, in which the ultimate will and conscience is represented by the lowest common denominator of the mass, symbolises the state of retrogression that we have made from the natural order of things, and from the age-old laws according to which high civilisations and cultures have been preserved.

The growing crime rate in Britain is the first sign of racial decadence. It may be combated in a small way by social and legal measures, but the only ultimate solution is a realistic policy of racial improvement by which the best are encouraged to multiply and the lowest are prevented from doing so.This implies of course a fundamental alteration in some of our most ingrained ideologies and values, but there is no other way out.

The alternative for Britain is chaos and death.

Read the Nationalist Viewpoint

VIKING BOOKS

106 Norwood High Street
London S.E.27.

(Tel. GIPsy Hill 0118)

SHOP OPEN:-

Mon.-Fri. 7 to 10 p.m.

Sat. 2 to 10 p.m.

Send for Our Free Catalogue NOW!

Subscribe to SPEARHEAD

ENSURE you always get your copy of 'Spearhead' by placing yourself on our list of postal subscribers. Fill in your name and address below, and send it, with the required subscription, to our address at:-

Albion Press, 106 Norwood High St., London S.E.27.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

.....

.....

Copy to be sealed/unsealed.

Enclosed subscription of.....

Rates (12 issues)

U.K. & Ireland: 17s. (sealed)
15s. (unsealed)

Commonwealth (excl. Canada):
22/6 (sealed)
15s. (unsealed)

Canada: $3.00 (sealed)
$2.50 (unsealed)

U.S.A. $3.50 (sealed)
$2.50 (unsealed)

Others - at local equivalent of:
22s. (sealed)
15s. (unsealed)

Printed and Published by Albion Press, London S.E.27.